

Global Supply Chains and Corporate Social Responsibility: Navigating Ethical and Sustainable Business Practices.

Auteur 1 : Anouar Hana.

Auteur 2 : Kasbaoui Tarik.

Anouar Hana, (PhD student in Management Science)
National School of Commerce and Management Casablanca
Casablanca Hassan II University, Morocco

Kasbaoui Tarik, (Enseignant-chercheur)
National School of Commerce and Management Casablanca
Casablanca Hassan II University, Morocco

Déclaration de divulgation : L'auteur n'a pas connaissance de quelconque financement qui pourrait affecter l'objectivité de cette étude.

Conflit d'intérêts : L'auteur ne signale aucun conflit d'intérêts.

Pour citer cet article : Anouar. H & Kasbaoui. T (2025). « Global Supply Chains and Corporate Social Responsibility: Navigating Ethical and Sustainable Business Practices », African Scientific Journal « Volume 03, Numéro 30 » pp: 0230 – 0251.



DOI : 10.5281/zenodo.15607411
Copyright © 2025 – ASJ



Abstract

In today's globalized world, global supply chains are essential for the efficient functioning of world trade. However, these far-reaching networks frequently raise significant issues related to ethics, sustainability, and social responsibility. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is increasingly being considered as a strategic instrument to address these challenges and ensure that companies make a positive contribution to society and the environment. This article examines the integration of CSR into global supply chains, providing a detailed study of ethical issues such as labor rights, environmental impacts, and transparency. Through an in-depth literature review and a study of 15 corporate cases, this article explores different models of corporate social responsibility (CSR), the evolution of stakeholder expectations, and the contribution of companies to ethical conduct in their supply chains. Drawing on empirical observations and concrete examples of good practices, it highlights varied approaches to CSR implementation. The analysis shows that the progressive integration of CSR principles into global supply chains strengthens resilience, improves transparency, and contributes to sustainable value creation for all stakeholders.

Keywords

Global supply chains, corporate social responsibility, business ethics, sustainability, labor rights, environmental impacts, supply chain transparency, ethical sourcing

Introduction

In the context of globalization, supply chains have evolved from simple local production and distribution networks to vast, interconnected systems that span multiple continents, linking suppliers, manufacturers, and consumers in diverse economic, social, and political environments (Gereffi, 2005). Global supply chains (GSCs) offer businesses the opportunity to improve efficiency, minimize costs, and strengthen their competitive position (Christopher, 2016). However, the increased complexity and geographical distribution of these networks have given rise to major ethical, environmental, and governance challenges. Labor rights violations, environmental degradation, hazardous working conditions, corruption, and a lack of transparency are among the major challenges, particularly in nations with weak or irregular law enforcement (Locke, 2013 ; Crane, Matten, & Spence, 2019).

With growing public awareness of these issues, consumers, governments, investors, and civil society are demanding greater corporate accountability throughout their value chains. This development has heightened the need for Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), which is defined as a company's obligation to conduct its operations in an ethical, socially beneficial, and environmentally responsible manner (Carroll, 1991). In global supply chains, corporate social responsibility is not limited to the company's direct activities but also includes the actions and consequences of its suppliers and business partners, who are often located several steps away from the final product.

Incorporating CSR into global supply chain management is now a major strategic concern for many companies, not only to meet stakeholder demands but also to minimize risks, ensure the sustainability of their operations, and enhance their brand image (Carter & Jennings, 2002). Large multinational companies are increasingly being scrutinized for their due diligence regarding their sourcing methods and supply chain management. Reports of labor exploitation in garment factories, mineral extraction for the electronics industry, and environmental degradation caused by unsustainable agricultural or mining methods have prompted companies to reevaluate their sourcing and operational strategies.

Additionally, regulations and voluntary standards such as the UK Modern Slavery Act, the Dodd-Frank Act, and certifications such as Fairtrade and ISO 26000 have emerged to encourage transparency and adherence to ethical standards (LeBaron & Rühmkorf, 2017). At the same time, technological advances such as blockchain, real-time auditing, and AI monitoring are transforming the implementation and monitoring of CSR within supply chains (Sabeti et al., 2019).

This article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the evolving relationship between global supply chains and corporate social responsibility. It examines how companies are adjusting their actions to rising ethical expectations and operational risks through strategic Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives. So, the article is structured as follows :

- Literature Review : A comprehensive overview of CSR in the context of global supply chains and a synthesis of the main theories regarding CSR and global supply chains.
- Ethical Challenges in Global Supply Chains : Studying major ethical dilemmas such as labor rights, human trafficking, and environmental degradation.
- Strategies for Sustainable Practices : Identifying sustainable practices and CSR strategies.
- Barriers and Challenges to integrating CSR in supply chains.
- CSR Integration Models : Exploring frameworks and approaches for embedding CSR into supply chain management.
- Case Studies : Concrete examples of effective CSR practices and challenges encountered in global supply chains

This article, through a study of theoretical principles and practical applications, contributes to the elucidation of how CSR can be implemented in global supply chains in order to establish more equitable, transparent and sustainable trade systems

1. Literature Review

The academic work on CSR in global supply chains provides theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence on the practices adopted by companies to address social and environmental issues. The notion of CSR in supply chains goes beyond philanthropic initiatives, highlighting the need for companies to integrate sustainable and ethical practices into their operations.

1.1.The Evolution of CSR in Global Supply Chains

The idea of CSR has progressed considerably over the past century. Carroll's (1991) definition of CSR, which consists of four components : economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic, remains a key founding model. According to Carroll, businesses should not only be profitable and comply with the law, but also act ethically and contribute to society (Carroll, 1991). More recently, Elkington (1997) introduced the concept of the Triple Bottom Line (TBL), encouraging businesses to assess their prosperity along social, environmental, and economic lines (often referred to as People, Planet, and Profit).

According to Porter and Kramer (2011), Corporate Social Responsibility is no longer just about managing risks or corporate image, but also seeks to generate shared value that benefits both

businesses and society. The integration of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into global supply chains has been driven by increased consumer awareness, the emergence of international labor and environmental regulations, and a growing demand for greater transparency. These frameworks have guided academic research and business strategy, laying the foundation for integrating CSR into global supply chains. However, scholars argue that these models must be situated within the complex context of contemporary supply chains, which often span multiple nations with diverse legal and cultural norms (Crane, Matten, & Spence, 2019).

The relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and global supply chains has been a major focus of academic study, particularly as globalization has heightened concerns about labor rights, ecological sustainability, and corporate responsibility.

1.2. Theoretical Frameworks for CSR in Global Supply Chains

The Different CSR theories have proposed diverse perspectives for examining global supply chain management. The Triple Bottom Line (TBL) model, developed by Elkington in 1997, is among the most commonly used approaches. According to the TBL, companies should strive to achieve social, environmental, and economic goals simultaneously (Elkington, 1997). This is particularly relevant to supply chains, where companies struggle to balance profitability with environmental and social concerns.

Also, the Stakeholder theory, developed by Freeman in 1984, asserts that a company's success depends on its ability to create value for all its stakeholders (not just shareholders). Stakeholders include employees, customers, suppliers, governments, NGOs, and communities affected by the company's activities. In global supply chains, stakeholder influence is crucial for establishing CSR strategies :

- Companies are being pressured by consumers increasingly concerned about transparency and ethical sourcing to publish sustainability reports and improve their traceability systems (Roberts, 2003).
- NGOs and civil society organizations play a watchdog role, exposing unfair labor or environmental behaviors in supply chains and encouraging companies to improve (Crane, 2013).
- Currently, environmental, social, and governance (ESG) criteria are considered by investors in their decisions, thereby encouraging companies to implement more responsible sourcing methods (Waddock, 2004).

So, the Stakeholder theory emphasizes the importance of dialogue, cooperation, and commitment. According to Donaldson and Preston (1995), companies that cooperate with

suppliers, communities, and NGOs to develop solutions are more likely to implement effective and respected CSR actions.

In the other side, and according to the institutional theory, organizations adhere to the norms, values, and expectations of their institutional framework to gain legitimacy and maintain access to resources (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983). At the global supply chain level, companies implement CSR practices not only for ethical reasons, but also to comply with regulatory, normative, and mimetic constraints.

The Institutional theory explains how CSR becomes institutionalized through reporting systems, certifications, and codes of conduct. These mechanisms help organizations ensure their legitimacy with regulators, investors, and the general public (Scherer & Palazzo, 2011).

So, these theories help understand why and how companies adopt CSR practices in their international supply chains. On the one hand, institutional theory emphasizes compliance, legitimacy, and external constraints. On the other hand, the stakeholder perspective emphasizes relationships, mutual benefits, and ethical obligations. For example, a company may implement a strict code of conduct for its suppliers following institutional pressure from regulators and competitors. It may also collaborate with NGOs and local communities to ensure significant impact and build lasting trust. By using these two approaches, companies can design CSR strategies that are not only compliant but also responsive to stakeholder demands, leading to more sustainable and resilient supply chains.

2. Ethical and Sustainable Issues in Global Supply Chains

Global supply chains span different geopolitical zones and involve numerous stakeholders. While this connectivity facilitates market access and allows companies to achieve economies of scale, it also raises significant ethical and sustainability issues. These challenges arise from unstable governance, economic disparities, cultural divergences, and uneven regulatory implementation.

2.1.Labor Exploitation and Human Rights Violations

One of the major ethical issues in global supply chains is labor exploitation. This includes unsafe working conditions, wage theft, excessive working hours, child exploitation, and forced labor. These abuses are commonly observed in developing countries where worker protections are weak or unenforced. The 2013 Rana Plaza factory disaster in Bangladesh, which resulted in the deaths of over 1,100 garment workers, highlights the fatal consequences of a failure to monitor working conditions and the inability of multinational companies to manage their subcontractors (Donaghey & Reinecke, 2018). Companies that sourced products from the

facility were reprimanded for their lack of rigorous due diligence, leading to the development of the Bangladesh Accord on Fire and Building Safety, a legally binding agreement involving both brands and unions.

The reality of child labor persists and remains pervasive. According to the International Labor Organization (ILO) and UNICEF, in 2020 there were 160 million children involved in child labor worldwide, the majority working in agriculture, mining, and textiles that supply global markets (ILO & UNICEF, 2021). Forced labor is also a concern ; in regions such as Xinjiang in China, allegations of state-sponsored forced labor have prompted international boycotts and import restrictions (U.S. Department of State, 2021).

Subcontracting and outsourcing practices, which reduce transparency and accountability, are often at the root of labor abuses. Companies positioned upstream in the supply chain can feign ignorance about the production conditions of their products, while benefiting economically from cost-saving initiatives deployed further down the chain.

2.2.Environmental Impact and Unsustainable Resource Use

Environmental sustainability is also a major concern in global supply chains. In many sectors, such as fashion, electronics, and food production, the supply chain is often the primary source of a company's environmental impact. Key issues include :

- Greenhouse gas emissions : Significant carbon emissions are generated by supply chain activities, such as production and logistics. For example, supply chains account for over 80% of global emissions for consumer goods companies (CDP, 2020).
- Water use and pollution : The textile industry uses a large amount of water and frequently discharges untreated wastewater laden with dyes and chemicals into rivers, particularly in countries such as India and Bangladesh (Kant, 2012).
- Deforestation and biodiversity loss : The unsustainable extraction of resources such as palm oil, soy, livestock, and timber is driving deforestation and habitat destruction, primarily in the Amazon and Southeast Asia (Curtis et al., 2018).

Consequently, the failure to include environmental impact assessments (EIAs) and life cycle assessments (LCAs) in sourcing decisions has led to unsustainable production practices. Furthermore, the phenomenon of carbon evasion, where companies relocate production to countries with lower environmental standards, threatens global climate goals (Peters & Hertwich, 2008)

2.3.Lack of Transparency and Traceability

Transparency and traceability are essential for sustainable and ethical supply chains. However, many companies lack full transparency beyond their direct suppliers (i.e., those with whom they have signed a contract), making it difficult to verify compliance with environmental or labor standards at lower levels.

The use of opaque subcontracted networks (often informal) creates a "blind spot" in CSR efforts. Audit procedures are often limited, and even when an audit is conducted, it may be superficial or manipulated (Locke, Amengual, & Mangla, 2009).

The exploration of technological solutions such as blockchain and digital product passports aims to increase transparency. These technologies offer indelible tracking of materials and labor inputs ; however, their implementation is still limited due to their high cost and infrastructure restrictions, especially in low-income regions (Kshetri, 2018).

Supply chain transparency initiatives, such as those led by the Sustainable Apparel Coalition and KnowTheChain, encourage companies to disclose their supplier lists and information related to compliance with social standards. However, given the lack of mandatory disclosure regulations, many companies choose to maintain limited transparency in their reporting.

3. Strategies for Sustainable Practices

In global supply chain management, adopting sustainable business practices is increasingly essential. Companies seek to balance profitability, environmental conservation, and social responsibility. These approaches aim to minimize environmental impacts, comply with labor standards, and increase transparency, often aligned with long-term strategic goals (Pagell and Wu, 2009). In this section, we will identify the main CSR strategies and sustainable practices that companies are deploying to achieve these goals.

3.1.Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM)

GSCM aims to incorporate environmental considerations into materials and logistics management at all stages of an entity's supply chain. In some situations, GSCM is described as a simple green purchasing relationship between a buyer and vendor. In other situations, more extensive notions of closed-loop supply chains are used, defining GSCM as a perpetual logistics cycle of use, reuse, and management of materials and products (Zhu et al. 2008). Also, GSCM includes traditional supply chain management practices that integrate environmental considerations or concerns into a company's procurement decision and long-term relationships with its suppliers. The goal of a green supply chain is to minimize waste within the organization to save energy and avoid the release of harmful materials into the environment (Ho et al., 2009).

As a more systematic and integrated approach, green supply chain management (GSCM) has emerged as an important new broad-based innovation that helps organizations develop ‘win-win’ strategies that seek to achieve profit and market share objectives by lowering their environmental risks and impacts, while raising their ecological efficiency (van Hock and Erasmus, 2000, cited by Zhu et al. 2007).

Essential components of GSCM include sustainable resource utilization, energy-efficient logistics management, and recycling initiatives. So, these initiatives not only reduce environmental impact but also contribute to competitive advantage through innovation and cost reduction.

3.2. Ethical Sourcing and Supply Chain Transparency

Ethical sourcing means obtaining materials, products, and services in accordance with ethical standards, ensuring fair labor, environmental sustainability, and respect for human rights at every stage of the supply chain. Increasingly, this approach is being integrated into Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) strategies, as companies understand the importance of aligning their operations with ethical values and meeting consumer expectations for transparency and accountability.

According to Lambrechts (2020), ethical sourcing emphasizes sourcing the materials, products and services an organization needs from its suppliers in an ethical and social responsible way, whether the focal organization is formally accountable or not. Ethical sourcing thereby sets ethical and social principles first, in order to ensure fair income for local communities and avoid unethical labour practices such as child labour or slavery. Ethical sourcing thereby exceeds the formal accountability as imposed by governments (Lambrechts, 2020).

As companies are increasingly held accountable for how they treat their suppliers (Goebel et al., 2012), it is evident that the importance of ethical and sustainable behavior extends beyond the organizational framework to encompass the entire supply chain. Thus, in order to strengthen their sustainability, companies are required to work collaboratively with their supply chain partners (Luzzini et al., 2015). This is also evident in the growing interest in sustainable supply chain management (SCM) as an academic field (Ahi and Searcy, 2013), where ethical and sustainable sourcing represents an important research topic.

Additionally, companies are increasingly incorporating technologies such as blockchain to optimize supply chain tracking and monitor compliance with ethical standards. Blockchain provides a decentralized, tamper-proof ledger that traces a product's path from source to storage,

allowing companies to ensure that products are manufactured under safe, fair, and environmentally friendly conditions (Kamble, Gunasekaran, & Sharma, 2019).

3.3.Circular Economy Practices

The circular economy (CE) Circular Economy as a regenerative system in which resource input and waste, emission, and energy leakage are minimised by slowing, closing, and narrowing material and energy loops. This can be achieved through long-lasting design, maintenance, repair, reuse, remanufacturing, refurbishing, and recycling. So, the Circular Economy is mainly motivated by the observation that resources could be better used and waste and emissions reduced with circular rather than linear make-use-dispose systems. (Geissdoerfer, Savaget, Bocken, & Hultink, 2017).

In other words, the circular approach differs from the traditional linear economic model take-make-use-dispose and an industrial system heavily tied to fossil fuels. Indeed, the company's strategy evolves from seeking profits through the sale of items to generating profits through the continuous flow of materials and products over time (Bakker et al., 2014). Also, Circular economic models can therefore offer economically viable methods for the continuous reuse of products and materials, favoring the use of renewable resources where feasible.

One of the most famous definitions was proposed by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation which describes the circular economy refers to an industrial economy that is restorative by intention ; aims to rely on renewable energy ; minimises, tracks, and eliminates the use of toxic chemicals ; and eradicates waste through careful design (Ellen MacArthur, 2013). According to this autor, Companies that adopt circular economy approaches redesign their products to improve their durability and recyclability, establish recovery and remanufacturing programs, and reduce their reliance on virgin resources. For example, Philips has developed product-as-a-service models, while IKEA has initiated furniture recovery and resale programs as part of its circular policy. So in general, implementing circular economy strategies not only promotes environmental sustainability, but also offers cost savings, the emergence of new business models, and improved brand image. Furthermore, adopting circular economy practices improves organizational resilience by minimizing supply chain risks and meeting growing regulatory and consumer expectations for sustainability (Bocken, Bakker, & Pauw, 2016).

3.4.Stakeholder Collaboration and Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives

To strengthen the sustainability and ethics of global supply chains, collaborative governance is essential. This means that collaboration among multiple stakeholders (businesses, governments,

and NGOs) is considered essential to address complex and systemic issues such as labor exploitation or environmental degradation (Dentoni, Bitzer, and Schouten, 2018).

Additionally, initiatives like the Ethical Trading Initiative (ETI) provide companies with tools and guidelines to promote labor rights. The Ethical Trading Initiative's (ETI) Base Code, which is based on International Labor Organization (ILO) conventions, establishes standards such as the prohibition of forced labor, child labor, and hazardous working conditions, while promoting the right to collective bargaining (Ethical Trading Initiative, n.d.).

Moreover, the United Nations Global Compact offers a widely adopted universal framework for ethical business management. It supports corporate adherence to ten universally accepted principles in the areas of human rights, labor, environmental protection, and anti-corruption, thereby promoting ethical behavior throughout the supply chain (United Nations Global Compact, n.d.).

Engaging in such multi-stakeholder initiatives promotes access to shared knowledge, increases corporate credibility, and strengthens stakeholder relationships. This means that involvement in initiatives like the UN Global Compact not only increases corporate accountability, but also provides access to networks, reporting tools, and recognition from regulators and consumers (Kell, 2013).

4. Barriers and Challenges to integrating CSR in supply chains.

Although the adoption of the concept of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) is strongly promoted as a solution to unethical and unsustainable behavior in international supply chains, its full adoption still faces several systematic and operational obstacles. These challenges can have economic, institutional, cultural, or technical dimensions, and they frequently overlap, complicating the integration.

First of all, implementing corporate social responsibility policies across complex global supply chains can be costly and resource-intensive. This is particularly true for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which may lack the capital to invest in advanced compliance systems, external audits, or environmental certifications. For Example, ethical sourcing typically involves regular social and environmental audits, employee training, and corrective action plans, all of which require significant financial investment. Also, CSR approaches can sometimes conflict with short-term profitability, especially in highly competitive markets where companies are forced to reduce costs. And to meet strict deadlines and competitive prices, suppliers may ignore labor standards or environmental practices (Sullivan & Mackenzie, 2017).

Secondly global supply chains operate across multiple jurisdictions, with varying legal, cultural, and ethical standards. This diversity presents a critical challenge for implementing CSR. For one thing, labor standards, environmental laws, and enforcement capabilities differ significantly from country to country. What is considered acceptable in one country may be perceived as unethical or illegal in another (Frynas and Yamahaki, 2016). In some regions, CSR is considered a Western imposition, especially when economic development objectives take precedence over social or environmental issues. This difference impacts how suppliers understand and implement company policies. Consequently, these cultural and legal mismatches make it difficult for multinationals to apply uniform CSR standards across their supply networks.

Moreover, monitoring is particularly challenging due to the decentralized and hierarchical structure of global supply chains (often involving multiple tiers of suppliers, subcontractors, and informal labor). This is because most companies only have clear visibility down to the level of their top-tier suppliers. Beyond that, visibility decreases significantly, making it more difficult to identify threats such as child labor or environmental degradation related to raw materials or the manufacturing process (Locke, 2013). Additionally, the use of unregulated subcontracting is common in industries such as textiles and electronics, where suppliers outsource manufacturing to unregistered workshops. These “shadow” facilities often operate without complying with critical labor or safety standards and may never be audited or disclosed. This complexity creates gaps in accountability and increases the risk that unethical practices may go undetected.

Also, suppliers, particularly those based in developing countries, may lack the infrastructure, skills, or motivation to comply with CSR standards. Many suppliers operate on minimal margins and struggle to meet basic operational criteria, let alone invest in environmental management systems or improve working conditions. Furthermore, if buyers prioritize cost and speed over ethics, suppliers have little incentive to meet CSR expectations. Compliance with corporate social responsibility (CSR) standards may be seen as a burden that undermines competitiveness (Egels-Zandén & Lindholm, 2015). Large companies maintain control of supplier relationships through compliance demands which they implement without helping suppliers develop their capabilities or creating shared value (power asymmetry). Also, a lack of skill development investments along with long-term collaborative partnerships at the supplier level leads to failures in CSR projects which results in damage to the company's main objectives.

Another obstacle is the rise of greenwashing, where companies exaggerate their CSR achievements to gain a more favorable image without implementing significant changes. Some companies adopt CSR codes of conduct or certifications primarily for marketing purposes, without actually incorporating them into their operational strategy or conducting adequate due diligence (Markopoulos, Di Giacomo & Lombardi, 2021). Furthermore, companies may choose to disclose only the positive aspects of their supply chains or rely on unverified statements to satisfy investor and consumer expectations.

Finally, in many low-income countries, enforcement of labor and environmental laws is frequently unsatisfactory due to skill gaps, corruption, or a lack of political will. Moreover, many CSR frameworks are not mandatory, meaning there are no legal penalties for non-compliance. This provides companies with the opportunity to disengage or opt for selective involvement in CSR. Thus, in the absence of binding accountability mechanisms, companies can avoid their duties, even if abuses are observed in their supply chains.

5. CSR Integration Models and Approaches

Successful integration of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in global supply chains requires more than one-off initiatives or external pressure. It requires well-structured frameworks, internal coordination, and strategic models that guide companies in integrating sustainability at every level of their supply chain. This section explores widely recognized CSR integration models, audit processes, certification systems, and implementation methods that companies are deploying to embed responsible practices throughout their supply chains.

5.1.CSR Frameworks and Standards

Many international frameworks offer detailed guidelines for companies to implement and assess CSR in their supply chains. These standards are not only beneficial for aligning practices with international requirements, but also provide a framework for stakeholder accountability.

The United Nations Global Compact sets out ten principles relating to human rights, labor, environmental protection, and anti-corruption (UN Global Compact, (n.d)). Companies that adhere to the UN Global Compact commit to aligning their activities with these principles and to regularly reporting on their progress through Communication on Progress (COP) reports.

In addition to and in contrast to the ISO standards implemented for certification, ISO 26000 provides guidance on how businesses and organizations can act in a socially responsible manner (ISO, 2010). It encompasses aspects such as fair labor practices, environmental conservation, and community participation.

Also, the SA8000 standard, developed by Social Accountability International, is a certifiable standard that focuses on decent working conditions and workers' rights. It is widely adopted in the apparel and manufacturing sectors.

5.2. Internal CSR Integration Approaches

CSR integration is most effective when it is integrated into the company's strategy, rather than treated as a peripheral activity. This involves cross-functional collaboration, performance incentives, and capacity-building measures. Companies therefore often form CSR committees composed of representatives from procurement, compliance, legal, HR, and sustainability. This ensures consistent communication and strategic alignment between the various functions. Therefore, to monitor progress and ensure accountability, companies integrate CSR-related key performance indicators (KPIs) throughout their supply chain. These may include : the percentage of ethically certified suppliers, carbon footprint reduction per shipment, the number of supplier audits conducted annually, and gender diversity within their teams.

5.3. Audits, Monitoring, and Risk Assessment Tools

Auditing and monitoring are essential tools for detecting CSR risks in global supply chains. However, their effectiveness depends on their rigorous implementation, independence, and transparency. In addition, CSR risk mapping and supplier self-assessment tools are offered by digital platforms such as Sedex, EcoVadis, and SupplyShift. These platforms use algorithms and databases to assess suppliers based on sustainability and human rights criteria. Villena and Gioia (2020) note that data-driven supplier monitoring can significantly reduce the incidence of social and environmental violations, especially when combined with robust remediation strategies (Villena & Gioia, 2020).

5.4. Certification and labeling systems

Certifications serve as a guarantee of credibility with consumers and regulators. They help set CSR standards and verify claims in various sectors. For example, Fair Trade certification ensures that products, particularly food, coffee, and textiles, come from producers who are fairly paid and work in safe conditions. This certification also supports community development initiatives. The Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) also certifies wood and paper products from responsibly managed forests. The Rainforest Alliance focuses primarily on agricultural and forestry products that meet environmental, social, and economic sustainability standards.

6. Case Studies and Empirical Insights

The empirical case analysis provides important insights into how companies are integrating Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into their global supply chains. These cases demonstrate

both the challenges and opportunities companies face in translating CSR theory into reality. This section highlights several entities that have implemented innovative and successful CSR policies, each providing specific lessons on sustainability, transparency, and ethical sourcing in supply chains.

Table N° 1 : Case Studies and Empirical Insights on CSR in Global Supply Chains.

Company / Initiative	CSR Focus Area	Key Actions Taken	Outcomes / Impacts	Sources
Microsoft	Carbon neutrality, ethical AI, digital inclusion	- Carbon negative (by 2030 plan) - Supplier Code of Conduct - Environmental data centers	-Reduced carbon footprint - Pioneering CSR in tech sector	Microsoft CSR Reports
Cisco Systems	Digital inclusion, responsible sourcing	- Networking Academy for underserved communities - Supplier Code of Conduct - Environmental impact reduction in operations	- Increased access to digital education - Lower emissions	Cisco CSR Reports
Apple Inc.	Labor rights, supply chain transparency	-Annual supplier responsibility reports - Audit of suppliers (esp. in Asia) - Worker education programs	-Greater awareness of labor issues - Mixed results ; some improvement over time	CSR Reports ; various audits
Nestlé	Ethical sourcing, child labor prevention	- Blockchain traceability - Fair Trade partnerships - Child labor monitoring	- Improved transparency - Better social compliance	Kamble et al. (2019)
Starbucks	Ethical sourcing, fair trade, farmer equity	-Coffee and Farmer Equity (C.A.F.E.) practices - Partnerships with NGOs - Investment in farmer support centers	-Over 98% ethically sourced coffee - Strong brand image for ethical leadership	Starbucks Global Social Impact Reports

Danone	Health and nutrition, inclusive business	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Promotes health through food - Inclusive sourcing from small-scale farmers - B Corp certification 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Positive health impacts - Empowered farmers in developing economies 	Danone CSR and B Corp Reports
Ben & Jerry's	Social justice, fair trade	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Advocacy for racial and climate justice - Sourcing Fair Trade ingredients - Employee equity programs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Strong ethical brand identity - Support for global social movements 	Ben & Jerry's Social Mission Reports
Toyota	Green supply chain management (GSCM)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Energy-efficient factories - Renewable energy use - Supply chain waste reduction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lower emissions - Industry leader in eco-efficiency 	Zhu et al. (2010)
IKEA	Sustainable sourcing, forestry and energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sourcing 100% of cotton from sustainable sources - Investment in renewable energy - Partnership with WWF 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduced environmental footprint - Certified wood sourcing increased 	IKEA CSR Reports
H&M Group	Fast fashion sustainability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conscious Collection (sustainable materials) - Supply chain mapping - Factory improvement programs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enhanced CSR image - Criticized for greenwashing but made progress in transparency 	H&M Sustainability Reports
Adidas	Worker welfare, environmental impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Partnership with Better Cotton Initiative - Transparency in supplier list - Recycled materials in footwear 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduced water use and environmental impact - Better labor practices 	Adidas Sustainability Reports

Unilever	Sustainable agriculture, social equity	-Sustainable Living Plan - Smallholder farmer support - Waste & water reductions	-Enhanced livelihoods - Reduced emissions and water use	Unilever (2020)
Patagonia	Environmental sustainability, transparency	- Uses recycled materials - Audits factories - Public CSR reporting	- Reduced environmental impact - High stakeholder trust	Chouinard & Stanley (2012)
The Body Shop	Human rights, community trade	- Community Fair Trade programs - Anti-animal testing campaigns - Advocacy for labor rights	- Long-term relationships with ethical suppliers - Global influence in CSR marketing	The Body Shop Ethical Trade Reports

Source : Personal elaboration based on CSR reports and academic literature.

All these case studies highlight the diversity and approach of companies integrating corporate social responsibility (CSR) into their global supply chains. Companies such as Patagonia and Unilever have long been recognized for their pioneering efforts in sustainability and responsible sourcing.

Meanwhile, major technology companies such as Microsoft and Cisco demonstrate how CSR extends beyond conventional production to encompass digital inclusion and sustainable practices. Also, fashion and retail brands such as H&M, IKEA, and Adidas are tackling the challenge of fast fashion by adopting responsible sourcing policies, modernizing their facilities, and applying circular economy principles.

At the same time, initiatives led by Starbucks, Danone, and Ben & Jerry's demonstrate their commitment to promoting social equity, fair trade, and community development. These illustrations reveal not only how CSR can address complex ethical and ecological issues, but also how responsible behaviors can foster innovation, increase stakeholder trust, and contribute to long-term economic prosperity.

CONCLUSION

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) within global supply chains is now a must-have for firms seeking to thrive and ensure long-term sustainability. As companies expand their operations globally, they face increased scrutiny regarding the ethical, ecological, and social impacts of their supply chains. From ensuring fair working conditions to minimizing environmental impact, incorporating Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) into overall supply chain management has emerged as a critical tactic for reducing risk, strengthening customer loyalty, and meeting evolving stakeholder expectations.

The case studies presented highlight both the encouraging progress and the challenges companies face when implementing CSR initiatives. Companies such as Unilever and Apple have made significant strides in the areas of sustainable development and ethical sourcing, contributing not only to enhancing their image but also to optimizing their financial performance. However, persistent obstacles such as the complexity of supply chains, cultural and legal differences, and the need to keep costs low continue to arise. Firms such as H&M and Nestlé demonstrate the challenge of maintaining labor standards within complex and often non-transparent supply chains, particularly in sectors such as fast fashion and agriculture.

Although the importance of CSR in global supply chains has grown considerably, its full integration remains complex. Voluntary standards and regulatory frameworks provide guidance, but the effectiveness of these systems depends on the genuine commitment of companies, their cooperation with stakeholders, and the implementation of transparent and responsible methods. In the future, various crucial elements may influence the evolution of CSR within global supply chains :

- **Technological advances** : Advances such as blockchain and artificial intelligence could optimize the tracking, monitoring, and auditing of supply chains, making it easier for companies to ensure compliance with ethical and environmental standards.
- **Strengthened regulatory frameworks** : Governments are likely to introduce stricter laws forcing companies to disclose their CSR actions and the impact of their supply chains on people and the environment.
- **Consumer and Investor Pressure** : As consumers and investors demand greater accountability from businesses, companies are finding themselves compelled to more firmly integrate CSR into their core operations. They now recognize that implementing sustainable practices boosts long-term profitability and strengthens brand loyalty.

In conclusion, integrating CSR into global supply chains is more of an ongoing process than a definitive goal. Companies that successfully address ethical, social, and environmental challenges in their supply chains not only mitigate risks, but also gain a competitive advantage in an increasingly responsibility-driven marketplace. As global supply chains become increasingly complex and interconnected, the ability to address these challenges through innovative, ethical, and transparent approaches will be critical to identifying the companies that will lead the way toward a sustainable future.

REFERENCE

- Ahi, P., & Searcy, C. (2013). A comparative literature analysis of definitions for green and sustainable supplychain management. *Journal of cleaner production*, 52, 329-341.
- Bakker, C., M. Den Hollander, E. van Hinte and Y. Zijlstra, *Product that Last. Product Design for Circular Business Models*, TU Delft Library, Delft (2014).
- Bocken, N. M. P., Bakker, C., & Pauw, I. D. (2016). Product design and business model strategies for a circular economy. *Journal of Industrial and Production Engineering*, 33(5), 308–320.
- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility : Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39–48.
- Carter, C. R., & Jennings, M. M. (2002). Logistics social responsibility : An integrative framework. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 23(1), 145–180.
- CDP. (2020). *Transparency to transformation : A chain reaction*. <https://www.cdp.net/en/research/global-reports/transparency-to-transformation>
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson UK.
- Crane, A. (2013). Modern slavery as a management practice : Exploring the conditions and capabilities for human exploitation. *Academy of Management Review*, 38(1), 49–69.
- Crane, A., Matten, D., & Spence, L. J. (2019). *Corporate social responsibility : Readings and cases in a global context* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Curtis, P. G., Slay, C. M., Harris, N. L., Tyukavina, A., & Hansen, M. C. (2018). Classifying drivers of global forest loss. *Science*, 361(6407), 1108-1111
- Dentoni, D., Bitzer, V., & Schouten, G. (2018). Harnessing wicked problems in multi-stakeholder partnerships. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 150(2), 333–356.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited : Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
- Donaghey, J., & Reinecke, J. (2018). When industrial democracy meets corporate social responsibility—A comparison of the Bangladesh Accord and Alliance as responses to the Rana Plaza disaster. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 56(1), 14–42.
- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation : Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 65–91.
- Egels-Zandén, N., & Lindholm, H. (2015). Do codes of conduct improve worker rights in supply chains ? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 107, 31–40.

- Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks : The triple bottom line of 21st-century business*. Capstone Publishing.
- Ellen MacArthur Foundation (EMF), 2013. *Towards the Circular Economy vol.1*. Isle of Wight.
- Ethical Trading Initiative. (n.d.). ETI Base Code. Retrieved April 01, 2025, from <https://www.ethicaltrade.org/eti-base-code>
- Frynas, J. G., & Yamahaki, C. (2016). Corporate social responsibility : Review and roadmap of theoretical perspectives. *Business Ethics : A European Review*, 25(3), 258–285.
- Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, Nancy M.P., Hultink Erik Jan. (2017). The Circular Economy – A new sustainability paradigm ?. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 143 (2017) 757-768
- Gereffi, G. (2005). The global economy : Organization, governance, and development. In N. J. Smelser & R. Swedberg (Eds.), *The handbook of economic sociology* (pp. 160–182). Princeton University Press.
- Goebel P., Reuter C., Pibernik R., & Sichtmann C., (2012). The influence of ethical culture on supplier selection in the context of sustainable sourcing. *International Journal of Production Economics* 140 (2012) 7–17.
- Ho J.C, Shalishali M.K, Tseng T. & Ang D.S, (2009)"Opportunities in green supply chain management "The Coastal Business Journal Spring : Volume 8, Number 1
- International Labour Organization & UNICEF. (2021). *Child labour : Global estimates 2020, trends and the road forward*. https://www.ilo.org/global/publications/books/WCMS_797515/lang--en/index.htm
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2010). *ISO 26000:2010—Guidance on social responsibility*.
- Kamble, S. S., Gunasekaran, A., & Sharma, R. (2019). Modeling the blockchain enabled traceability in agriculture supply chain. *International Journal of Information Management*, 101967.
- Kant, R. (2012). Textile dyeing industry : An environmental hazard. *Natural Science*, 4(1), 22–26.
- Kell, G. (2013). 12 years later : Reflections on the growth of the UN Global Compact. *Business & Society*, 52(1), 31–52.
- Kshetri, N. (2018). 1 The emerging role of big data in key development issues : Opportunities, challenges, and concerns. In *Big Data for Development* (pp. 3-24).

- Lambrechts, W. (2020). Ethical and sustainable sourcing : Toward strategic and holistic sustainable supply chain management. In W. Leal Filho, A. M. Azul, L. Brandli, A. L. Salvia, & T. Wall (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of the UN Sustainable Development Goals* (pp. 402–414).
- LeBaron, G., & Rühmkorf, A. (2017). Steering CSR through home state regulation : A comparison of the impact of the UK Bribery Act and Modern Slavery Act on global supply chain governance. *Global Policy*, 8(3), 15–28.
- Locke, R. M. (2013). *The promise and limits of private power : Promoting labor standards in a global economy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Locke, R. M., Amengual, M., & Mangla, A. (2009). Virtue out of necessity? Compliance, commitment, and the improvement of labor conditions in global supply chains. *Politics & Society*, 37(3), 319–351.
- Luzzini, D., Brandon-Jones, E., Brandon-Jones, A., & Spina, G. (2015). From sustainability commitment to performance: The role of intra-and inter-firm collaborative capabilities in the upstream supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 165, 51–63.
- Markopoulos, I.; Di Giacomo, M.; Lombardi, P. ESG criteria and greenwashing: Challenges and regulatory perspectives. *Eur. Bus. Law Rev.* 2021, 32, 987–1005.
- Pagell, M. and Wu, Z. (2009) Building a More Complete Theory of Sustainable Supply Chain Management Using Case Studies of 10 Exemplars. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45, 37-56.
- Peters, G. P., & Hertwich, E. G. (2008). CO₂ embodied in international trade with implications for global climate policy. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42(5), 1401–1407.
- Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1-2), 62-77.
- Roberts, S. (2003). Supply chain specific ? Understanding the patchy success of ethical sourcing initiatives. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 44(2-3), 159–170.
- Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(7), 2117–2135.
- Scherer, A. G., & Palazzo, G. (2011). The new political role of business in a globalized world : A review of a new perspective on CSR and its implications for the firm, governance, and democracy. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(4), 899–931.
- Sullivan, R., & Mackenzie, C. (2017). Small and medium-sized enterprises and CSR : From the peripheral to the mainstream. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 18(1), 171–188.

- U.S. Department of State. (2021, June). Forced labor in China's Xinjiang region. https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Forced-Labor-in-Chinas-Xinjiang-Region_LOW.pdf Nancy M. P. Bocken, Ingrid de Pauw, Conny
- United Nations Global Compact. (n.d.). *The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact*. Retrieved April 19, 2025, from <https://unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/mission/principles>
- Villena Verónica H., & Gioia Dennis A. (2020), A More Sustainable Supply Chain, Harvard Business Review.
- Waddock, S. (2004). Parallel universes : Companies, academics, and the progress of corporate citizenship. *Business and Society Review*, 109(1), 5–42.
- Zhu Q. & Sarkis J. & Laic K. (2007), "Initiatives and outcomes of green supply chain management implementation by Chinese manufacturers", *Journal of Environmental Management* 85, pp. 179 189